Case 5:09-mj-70888-MRGD UNITED ST <u>cument 4 Filed 10/20/09 Page 1 of 1</u>

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

1-1

Case Number <u>CR 19-mj</u> -70888 PVT

Rofael Danz-Condenas Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detent present, represented by his attorney C. R. The United State Part I. Presumerous Apply 1947	ion hearing was held on 10/20/20 efendant was
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	mes was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney C. Marilold
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been determined by
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release	pending trial for a federal state or local offers.
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of con	viction or the release of the person from imprison
whichever is later.	or and release of the person from imprisonment,
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the sefet.
of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the	facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
A for which a maximum term of imprisonment 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., C	of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm du	ring the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the communit	
₩7 No presumption applies.	NORTHERN DISTANCE OF CALIFORNIA
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	OUR 102E
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evider	nce to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he
therefore will be ordered detained.	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the	e applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	•
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICA	BLE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evi	dence that no condition or combination of conditions will
easonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evid	ence that no condition or combination of conditions will
easonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASON	S FOR DETENTION
The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U	S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
he hearing and finds as follows: The defendant is charge	dwith a Violation of 8 USC \$ 1326.
te is undocumented. The defendant has	fire felory convictions involven
annolled pulsarances. He also has	a felory conviction for manufactu
- Delling a dangerous weapon. He has a	+ dast 2 probation delations
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.	
ART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	mongs.
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General	or his degionated consequentation S
ctions facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting of	or serving sentences or being held in quated and the serving sentences or being held in quated.
. L L	or notine or notine more in continual deligible abbest

corre The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the Jnited States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the lefendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 18/20/09

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge